	LPA PROJECT SCOPE					
A. PROJECT IDENTIFICATION	ATTACH MAP OF PROJECT LOCATION					
County STA Rte 12th Street I	N.W. Section					
Project Sponsor/Maintenance Respons	nsibility Canton City/Stark County					
Local Let Yes If ye	yes, Please complete LPA Local-let Participation Review Form [attached]					
ODOT Let No Pro	roject Manager					
Scope Field Review Date	Scope Meeting Date					
Highway Functional Class Principa	al Arterial					
PID						
Fiscal Year PE 2012 RW	n/a CO 2014 Proposed Sale Date					
PDP Classification	Environmental Document					
B. DESIGN STANDARD TO BE UTILI	IZED					
ODOT L& D Manual						
C. PROJECT DESCRIPTION						
	project will replace two deficient bridges. The eastern most bridge over the					
	accommodate a multiuse trail underpass below 12th Street. The roadway may be					
	e bike lanes. Pedestrian access will be improved with the replacement of existing and					
installation on new sidewalks and curb ramps	•					
Prior Studies/Plans [idenfify]						
None						
Estimated Project Length [begin pavement to end pavement, including bridge] 2100 ft.						
, ,	ject length and approach work] 2100 ft.					
Limits: begin	end					
alignment existing X	relocated					
profile existing X	new					
· —	logical termini [with explanation]: Mercy Drive/I-77 NB ramps on the west. The functional classification of 12th Street					
•	Sateway Corridor" designation. Monument Road on the east which is the terminus of					
An adjacent project (PID 85299)						

D. TYPICAL S	SECTIONS EXI	STING					
Primary Route		OTINO					
Width	. 12° Olleet						
pavement:	Varies	graded s	houlder:	tres	ated shoulder:	RW:	Varies
pavement.	36'-50'	graded 3	ilouluei.	tict	itea siloalaer.	IXVV.	60'-66'
Bridge: face to	face of rails	47'		toe to	toe of parapets		
	yes X	no	comment				
<u>-</u>	yes X	no	comment				
sidewalks	yes X	no	comment	Some sections	currently do not have walk		
guardrail	yes	no X	_ type				
TYPICAL SEC	TIONS P ROPO	DSED					
Route							
Width							
pavement:	BD g	raded sho	ulder:	trea	ated shoulder:	RV	1: Varies 60'-66'
Bridge: face to	face of rails	TBD		toe to toe of	parapets		<u> </u>
curbs	yes X	no	comment				
curb ramps	yes X	no	comment				
sidewalks	yes X	no	comment	Walk will be	installed along entire corric	dor	
guardrail	yes	no X	type				
SUPPLEMENTA	L INFORMATION						
ADT	10,200		Desig	n ADT	10,200		
DHV	862		Certifi	ed Traffic			
T24							
Design Speed			Legal	Speed	35 MPH		
Comments							
TYPICAL SEC	TIONS EXIST	ING					
Secondary Ro	ute:						
Width	_						
pavement:		graded s	houlder:	trea	nted shoulder:	RW:	
Bridge: face to	face of rails			toe to	toe of parapets		
curbs	yes	no	comment				
curb ramps	yes	no	comment				
sidewalks	yes	no	comment				
guardrail	yes	no	_ type				
TYPICAL SEC	TIONS PROP	OSED					
Route							
Width							
pavement:		raded sho	ulder:	trea	ated shoulder:	RV	/:
Bridge: face to	face of rails			_ toe to toe of	f parapets		
curbs	yes	no	comment				
curb ramps	yes	no	comment	-			
sidewalks	yes	no	comment				
guardrail	yes	no	type				
SUPPLEMENTAL	INFORMATION						
ADT			Desig	n ADT			
DHV			Certifi	ed Traffic			
T24							
Design Speed			Legal	Speed			

E. RIGHT OF WAY					
Right of Way Plan	yes		n	10 X	
Approximate number of parce	ls				
known relocations	yes		no	X	
Railroad involvement	yes	X	no		
Railroad name	Akron Metro				
Encroachments					
Airway Highway Clearance	yes		no	X	
Remarks	_				
Airport Name					
Comments				_	
Note: Provide a footprint of propose	d and existing rig	ht of way l	imits as soon	as a	
available to District Env. Coordinator	r and District Rea	al Estate Ad	dministrator.	Caution:	
Environmental needs to be clear prio	r to the beginning	g of right of	f way acquisi	tion. A local	
utilizing their own monies, assumes r	many risks by pro	ceeding w	ith acquisition	n prior to	
environmental being cleared. These risks include purchasing r/w that may never be used for					
the project and purchasing a site that	t contains the nee	ed for haza	rdous waste	cleanup.	

F. UTILITIES								
Aerial								
Phone	yes		r	10	Χ	name of company		
Cablevision	yes		r	10	Χ	name of company		
Power	yes	Χ	r	10		name of company	AEP	
Buried								
Phone	yes			no	Х	name of company		
Cablevision	yes	•		no	X	name of company		
Power	yes	•		no	X	name of company		
Gas	yes	•	Χ	no		name of company	Dominion	
pipelines	yes	•		no	X	name of company		
water	yes	•	Χ	no		private	public	Canton
sanitary sewer	yes	•	Χ	no		private	public	Canton
storm sewer	yes	•	Χ	no		private	public	Canton
other	yes	•		no		private	public	-
comments	Ther	e is a	lso ae	rial tra	affic signa	al interconnect cable owner	by Canton	

C CTRUCTURAL	Decumento				
G. STRUCTURAL	·				
EXISTING STRUCTUR		. L. A. I			
Structure Type	Reinforced Concre			alara Normalaan	CA E 1E
Sufficiency Rating	47.4 SD 7631324	General Appraisal	4A Bri West Branch of Nimishil	dge Number	CA-5-15
Structure File No.		crossing	West Branch of Nimishii	en Creek	
Bridge Length	50'-0" Clear Span				
Number of Spans Eligible for Nationa	 Desister vec	X no			
PROPOSED STRUCTU		X no			
New Structure		no			
Rehabilitate Existin	· —				
Structure Width	TBD		Structure Type	e TBD	
Number of Spans	1		Structure Type	, 100	
•	crete Box	Steel			
		ation of change in Lin		<u></u>	
	•		d eliminate an existing at-	rade trail crossi	na.
		and a dam and or page and	a carried an omorning at g	,	
Guardrail Type	BD				
G. STRUCTURAL	REQUIREMENTS				
EXISTING STRUCTUR					
	Concrete Arch				
Structure Type	84.0	Conoral Approiaal	 5A Br i	daa Numbar	STA-12NW-1300
Sufficiency Rating Structure File No.	7661215	General Appraisal	Canton Parks Waterwork	dge Number	31A-12NW-1300
	51'	crossing	Canton Faiks Waterwor	NS Nace	
Bridge Length Number of Spans	1				
Eligible for Nationa	 Dogistor ves	no	X		
PROPOSED STRUCTU					
New Structure		no			
Rehabilitate Existin	, <u> </u>				
Structure Width	TBD		Structure Type	TBD	
Number of Spans	1		Structure Type	טטו	
•	crete Box	Steel			
		ation of change in Lin			
Other Design Colls	iuei auviiə/Expidilă	and or change in Lill	- Jiauc		
Guardrail Type	BD				
H. Design Excei	PTION[S] REQUIR	RED			
yes X no		Possible due to horizontal	curves in roadway		
I. TRAFFIC CONTI			,		
Signing yes X		emarks			
Striping yes X		emarks			
					
Lighting ves X	no R	emarks			
Lighting yes X Signals yes		lemarks lemarks			

L. PROJECT FUNDING	;
Drainat Coat Fatimata	¢4.15 mil

comments

TOTAL

Project Cost Estimate \$4.15 mil.

Quantity Splits needed in plans to differentiate funding participation?

tiate funding participation?

yes _X _ no _____

ired _____ yes _X _ no

Coordination with concurrent project required yes comments 12th Street Corridor Improvement Project (PID 85299) is adjacent to this project

Cost Estimates									
Project Phases		F	ederal Funds			State Funds		Local Funds	
	Fiscal Year								
		source	amount	%	source	amount	%	amount	%
env	2013								100
final design	2012								100
PE [TOTAL]	1								100
utilities	2013								
RW [TOTAL]	1								1
co contract	2014								
co inspect/engineer	2014	 							-
CONSTRUCTION[TOTAL]]	I		1					1

PE FUND EVENT							
SAC	Amount	Fed/State Rate	Program Max	PE Phase total	FY		
RW FUND EVENT							
SAC	Amount	Fed/State Rate	Program Max	RW Phase total	FY		
			b-/				
CO FUND EVENT 1							
SAC	Amount	Fed/State Rate	Program Max	CO Fund event total	FY		
construction contract	\$1						
fed cc	\$1						
local cc	\$0						
construction engineering	\$0						
fed ce	\$ 0						
local ce	\$0						
CO FUND EVENT	2	totatotatatatatatatatatatatatatatatatat	neterorororororororororororororororororor		protototototo		
SAC	Amount	Feo/State Rate	Program Max	CO Fund Event total	FY		
LNTP	10.4						
construction contract	\$1						
fed cc	\$1 00						
local cc	\$0 co						
construction engineering fed ce	\$0 \$0						
local ce	\$0 \$0						
	3						
CO FUND EVENT	Amount	Fed/State Rate	Program Max	CO Fund Event total	IEV		
UAU			i iogiaili max				
construction contract	\$1			1			
fed cc	\$1						
local cc	\$0						
construction engineering	\$ 0						
fed ce	\$ 0						
local ce	\$0						

M. Environmental

Summary of Common Environmental Studies

Note: all required environmental studies must be performed by pre-qualified consultants and the number of copies required is subject to change based on project-specific coordination and schedule requirements.

Photologs (3 copies) Phase I Short Report (3 copies) Phase I Cultural Resources Survey Report (3 copies) Phase I History/Architecture Survey Report (3 copies) Phase I Archaeology Survey Report (3 copies) Phase II Cultural Resources Survey Report (3 copies) Phase II History/Architecture Survey Report (3 copies) Phase II History/Architecture Survey Report (3 copies) Phase II Archaeology Survey Report (3 copies) Phase III Archaeology Data Recovery (4 copies) Addendum reports/submissions (3-4 copies) Documentation for Consultation/MOA (4-5 copies) HABS/HAER/6(f); Recreational/Cultural Documentation (4 copies)
Determination of Non-Applicability of Section 4(f) (Draft: 2 copies, Final: 3 copies) Determination of Applicability of Programmatic Section 4(f) (Draft: 2 copies, Final: 3 copies) Individual Section 4(f) Evaluation (Draft: 3 copies, Final: 10 copies) Section 6(f) Documentation (Draft: 3 copies, Final: 4-5 copies)
Ecological MOA (4 copies for two agency coordination or 6 copies for Four Agency Coordination) Wetland Delineation Report (4 copies for two agency coordination or 6 copies for Four Agency Coordination) Level 1 Ecological Survey Reports (6 copies for Four Agency Coord. and 7 copies for Five Agency Coord and high impact projects). Level 2 Ecological Survey Reports (6 copies for Four Agency Coord and 7 copies for Five Agency Coord and high impact projects). **Add 2 additional copies for involvement with Scenic Rivers
ce Aquifer Sole Source Aquifer Coordination - (3 copies)
Policy Protection Act (FPPA) FPPA Project Screening Sheets (1 copy, may be submitted electronically) Farmland Conversion Impact Rating-Form (USDA Form AD-1006) (4 copies)
Permits Conceptual Stream/Wetland Mitigation reports (Draft: 2 copies, Final: 6 to 7 copies) Section 404/401 Applications (Draft: 2 copies, Final: 10 copies) USACE Pre-Construction Notification (PCN) applications (Draft: 2 copies, Final: 5 copies) Ohio EPA Isolated Wetland Permit Pre-Activity Notification (PAN) (Draft: 2 copies, Final: 4 copies) Coastguard Section 9 Application (Draft: 2 copies, Final: 3-4 copies) Flood Plain Permit Application (Draft: 2 copies, Final: 3-4 copies)
ental Site Assessment Environmental Site Assessment Screening Report (2 copies) Phase I Environmental Site Assessment Report (2 copies) Phase II Environmental Site Assessment Report (2 copies)
Traffic Noise Analysis Report (Draft: 2 copies, Final: 2 copies) Ambient Noise Level Report (Draft: 2 copies, Final: 2 copies) Noise Barrier Public Involvement Summary (Draft: 2 copies, Final: 2-3 copies)
Public Involvement Plan (Draft: 2 copies, Final: 2-3 copies) Along w/ the tasks for a public involvement activities that are included in the project scope
al Exclusion (CE) Environmental Document CE Level 1 Environmental Document (Draft: 1 copy, Final: 2 copies) CE Level 2 Environmental Document (Draft: 1 copy, Final: 2 or 3 copies) CE Level 3 (Draft: 2 copies, Final: 4 copies) CE Level 4 (Draft: 2 copies, Final: 5 copies) CE Recyclustion (Draft: 1-2 copies, Final: 3-5 copies)

Scope of the Proposed Action/Involvement with Resources: These are actions/items the District Environmental Staff deems necessary to address as part of the LPA project environmental documentation . This form is not all inclusive, and more items may be required upon initiation of agency coordination and field

N. Roles and Respon				
Construction Plan Develo	· —			
Proposal/Specification Development LPA Agreement Form and Preliminary Legislation				
Advertising and Award of				
Construction Inspection	_			
RW Plan Development	_			
RW Acquisition /Appraisa	ıls <u> </u>			
Utility Relocation				
O. FIELD REVIEW				
NAME	AGENCY	PHONE	E-mail	
	_			

P. COMMITMENT DATES]	
Traditional Loc	al Let	Reservoir
ACTIVITY	START DATE	FINISH DATE
Authorization to Proceed	_	-
Stage 1 Review		
Stage 2 Review		
Stage 3 Review		
RW Plans Approved/Not Required		
Bid document tracings to District		
RW and Utility Clearance		
Environmental Clearance		
Plan Package to CO		
Award Date		
Other due dates of interest:		
	sal, estimate [PS&E] to the District	
 County certifies R/W and utility 		
 County submits bid results to 		
Schedule Explanation: Authorization to		District submits the programming package

Schedule Explanation: **Authorization to Proceed** Start Date is the date that the District submits the programming package to Central Office. Finish Date for said activity is when a state job number has been established. Start Date for **Environmental Clearance** is normally the same as the date the project has been programmed. Start Date for **Stage 2 Review** is the date of submission to the District of the preliminary R/W plans. Finish date for said activity is when comments are returned to the LPA. Start Date for **R/W Plan Approved** is when the District has received final R/W plans and associated documents. Finish Date for said activity is when the District has approved said plans and associated documents. Start Date for **R/W and Utility Clearance** is the date that the LPA is authorized to begin acquisition. Finish Date for said activity is when the District certifies clearance to FHWA. The LPA should verify R.W and Utility Clearance to the District one month before the R/W and Utility Clearance Finish Date. Start Date for Plan **Package to C.O.** is the date that the PS&E package leaves the District and the Finish Date is the day it is logged in at Central Office. One should allow forty-five days from Plan Package to C.O for PS & E approval and project advertising before the Sale Date. Advertising needs to be three weeks minimum and cannot start until PS&E approval is obtained. Start Date for the **Award Date** is the Sale Date of the project. And the Finish Date for the Award Date is the date the project is awarded. Summary of bid tabs and the identity of the awarded contractor shall be submitted to ODOT no later than one week after the award.

Project Schedule Approval:		
Environmental Coordinator	Real Estate Administrator	
Program Manager	Project Manager	
Production Administrator	Planning Administrator	
	LPA Coordinator	

LPA Local-let Participation Requirement Review Form

City of Canton

12th Street N.W. Bridges Replacement Project

Local Public Agency (LPA)

Project Name

Upon receipt of an LPA's initial project programming package, the District Office shall thoroughly review and evaluate the content with regard to the following requirements for Local-let Program participation. Failure by the LPA to demonstrate a satisfactory status for any of these prerequisites shall result in the District denying the LPA the privilege of administering the delivery of a Local-let project.

- The LPA agrees to adhere to the Ohio Department of Transportation (ODOT) Locally Administered Transportation Projects Manual of Procedures.
- 2. The LPA's Person in Responsible Charge accepts the following responsibilities:
 - a. Ensure that all applicable Federal and State regulations are followed on the project and have the responsibility, authority and resources to manage it effectively.
 - b. Serve as agency contact for issues or inquiries concerning the project.
 - c. Be familiar with project progress, involved in decisions that require change orders, and visit the project on a frequency that is commensurate with the magnitude and complexity of the project.

Person in Responsible Charge:

Daniel J. Moeglin, P.E.

Current Roles and Responsibilities within LPA:

City Engineer

Statement of Qualifications:

Prequalified as an LPA for federally funded projects

Identify the LPA Designee to serve as the Construction Project Engineer (CPE), or if the CPE will be provided through contracted consultant services:

Consultant Services (TBD by ODOT approved QBS process.)

4. The LPA must have previously delivered and maintained successful capital improvement projects of a similar size, nature and complexity.

Project Name:	ODOT PID:	Letting Agency	Amount::	Funding Sources:
Tuscarawas St. Roadway Improvements	22872	City of Canton	\$6,222,501	SCATS, ODOT, OPWC, City
Scope: Widening, resurfacing, traffic signals, lighting, streetscape, ramps and curbs				
Issues / Comments: None.				

Project Name:	ODOT PID:	Letting Agency	Amount::	Funding Sources:
Fulton Rd./Park Dr. NW Intersection	86379	City of Canton	\$1,000,000	ARRA, OPWC, City
Scope: Roadway improvements, traffic signal, lighting, streetscape, curbs and curb ramps				
Issues / Comments: None.				

Project Name:	ODOT PID:	Letting Agency	Amount::	Funding Sources:	
Sherrick Rd. SE Subgrade Stabilization and Resurfacing	86380	City of Canton	\$980,000	ARRA, City	
Scope: Subgrade stabilization and	resurfacing				

Issues / Comments: None.

5. Indicate for what project phases the LPA expects to contract consultant services. If function is performed by LPA staff, indicate the LPA Designee(s) responsible:

Environmental:	Consultant
Design:	Consultant
PS&E (Including Bid Document)	City Engineer
Right-of-Way	Consultant
Construction Administration & Inspection	Consultant
Sampling and Testing	Consultant

6. The LPA has established and documented practices for each of the following project administration responsibilities. The LPA must indicate LPA support staff responsible for the management of these processes. Written processes* should be attached separately to this form, or documented in the space provided (field will expand).

a. *Consultant Services Evaluation and Selection:

LPA Designee(s):

City Engineer

Process Description:

City of Canton Board of Control uses its Federally/ODOT approved Consultant Selection Process.

b. Consultant Services Management:

LPA Designee(s):

City Engineer

Process Description:

The City Engineer follows ODOT PDP for plan development and Consultant Services Manual for Consultant Management.

c. *Change Order Process

LPA Designee(s):

City Engineer

Process Description:

See Attached.

d. *Dispute Resolution / Claims Management Process

LPA Designee(s):

Director of Administration

Process Description:

See Attached.

e. Finance, Accounting and Record Keeping:

LPA Designee(s):

City Construction Manager

Process Description:

Direct oversight by construction engineering consultant.

f. Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) compliance:

LPA Designee(s):

City Construction Manager

Process Description:

Direct oversight by construction engineering consultant.

g. Davis-Bacon and/or State Prevailing Wages Compliance:

LPA Designee(s):

City Construction Manager

Process Description:

Direct oversight by construction engineering consultant.

h. Maintenance of Project Files:

LPA Designee(s):

City Engineer

Process Description:

Separate project file will be maintained in the office of the City Engineer.

- 7. The LPA must not be under fiscal watch or fiscal emergency for its most recently completed fiscal year. In the event the entity is emerging from fiscal watch or fiscal emergency, the entity must be able to clearly demonstrate that it has adequate financial resources to fund matching and/or cost overruns on the project. The LPA must submit a copy of its most recently completed audit and management letter. Both documents must support the entity's ability to fiscally handle and administer the project. There should be no material or significant issues related to prior or current ODOT projects, by the entity as a whole, which would provide doubt regarding the LPA's ability to administer an LPA project
- 8. The LPA has established authority in place for executing the project, and must be willing to provide a copy of approved legislation that provides the mayor, county engineer or other designated local official with clear authority to enter into a project agreement with ODOT.

	(LPA Person in Responsible Charge) Date
	(Attach to project programming package; include copies of all materials used in completing this evaluation
0[OOT District Use Only:
	e District LPA review team has evaluated the completed statement of qualifications provided by the requesting L ommends the following:
	Approval of full administration of all project work types by the LPA, subject to satisfactory performance and no change in stated qualifications.
	Comment:
	Approval of limited LPA administration on a project-by-project basis. Primary Work Type(s) approved:
	Comment::
	Approval / Denial pending the provision of supplemental information. The LPA qualification package was lacking the following information necessary to make a determination:
	Comment:
	Denial of LPA administration request.
	Comment:
Dis	trict Review Team:
	me / Title: